

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/596,837	06/19/2000	Vladimir Vaganov	SS-714-01	2878
7.	590 11/18/2002			
Law Offices of Thomas E Schatzel			EXAMINER	
A Professional Suite 240	Corporation		GOUDREAU, GEORGE A	
16400 Lark Avenue				
Los Gatos, CA	95032-2547		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763 DATE MAILED: 11/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SI
/

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09-596831 Vaganor					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Gloup Art Unit					
	George Goudreau (763					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address -					
Period for Reply	700.					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 30 Usy MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE					
from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	00/ (Ce, - paper #1)					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.						
Disposition of Claims						
To Claim(s) 1-115	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.					
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
Claim(s) 1 1 5	are subject to restriction or election requirement					
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction filed on						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un-	ter 35 U.S.C. & 119 (a)–(d)					
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec	eived.					
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents I	·					
in this national stage application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))					
*Certified copies not received:	•					
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s						
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other					
Office Action Summary						

Art Unit: 1763

15. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

-an isotropic wet etching step is used (i.e.-claims 25, 28, 32, 47, 50, 54, 84, 87, 90, 104, 107, 114); and

-an isotropic dry etching step is used (i.e.- claims 26, 29-30, 33-34, 48, 51-52, 55, 85, 88, 105, 108, 115)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-24, 27, 31, 35-46, 49, 53, 56-83, 86, 89, 91-103; 106, and 109-113 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/596,837

Page 3

Art Unit: 1763

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to attorney Thomas E. Schatzel on 11-15-02' to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner George A. Goudreau whose telephone number is (703) -308-1915. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Examiner Gregory Mills, can be reached on (703) -308-1633. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) -306-3186.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) -308-0661.

Gebrge A. Goudreau/gag

Primary Examiner